



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,497	02/04/2005	Ian Fraser Jarvies	08830-0311US1	1844
	7590 01/22/2008	EXAMINER		
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			ALLEN, CAMERON J	
ONE LOGAN	SQUARE HERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
	IA, PA 19103-6996		1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/523,497	JARVIES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
-	Cameron J. Allen	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		7 · 1 · 5 · 1			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No	ovember 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑. This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2005</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

The examiner takes note that claims 1-2 are now pending in the instant application. Without acquiescing in any ground of rejection, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the features of allowable claim 5, and intervening claim4. Claims 4 and 5 have been cancelled. Claims 6 -10 have been cancelled, mooting the rejections of those claims. All claim amendments and cancellations are without prejudice to the filing of continuing applications.

The indicated allowability of claim 5 now incorporated in claim 1 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Cooper US 5,174,877. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Cooper et al US 5,174,877.

Regarding claim 1, Cooper teaches an apparatus for the treatment of hazardous

10/523,497 Art Unit: 1797

material and decontamination of items (Column 1 line 20-24))contaminated with such material comprising an operator accessible treatment vessel adapted to hold said hazardous material or contaminated items: (Figure 16) a holding tank capable of holding a carrier medium(Column 6 line 46), a catalyst hopper capable of holding a catalyst (column 3 line 29-30), a mixing vessel facilitating mixing of the carrier medium and the catalyst (Column 6 line 45-46) *The examiner also interprets the mixing to induce turbulent flow.*, wherein the treatment vessel comprises one or more treatment chambers (Figure 16) *The examiner interprets multiple reactors to have multiple compartments*, the treatment chambers comprising a housing containing a plurality of treatment beds (Figure 2c and Column 7 line 1-2) and a light source capable of irradiating contents within the treatment chambers with a predetermined wavelength of light (Column7 line6-9), and a distribution header for controlling the flow of carrier medium and catalyst into the treatment Chambers(Figure 2c) (column 6 line 55-56)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

10/523,497 Art Unit: 1797

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al US 5,174, 877 in further view of Baron WO 99/61075.

Regarding claim 2, Cooper teaches an apparatus according to Claim 1 but does not teach wherein the treatment vessel comprises at least one tray for holding the hazardous material or contaminated items, and distribution means for circulating a carrier medium within or through the apparatus. Baron does teach wherein the treatment vessel comprises at least one tray for holding the hazardous material or contaminated items(Figure 2 208), and distribution means for circulating a carrier medium within or through the apparatus. (Figure 7 702) It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Cooper apparatus with Baron for the added expected benefit of being able to support the contaminated items.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cameron J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-270-3164. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,497 Art Unit: 1797

CJA

Page 6

WALTER D. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER